

Team Project Final Exhibition – Assessment Rubric

TEAM: _____

	3	2	1	0	Comments
Topic & Themes	Emergence of themes/research questions are linked back to Research Presentation/mini-trail.	Research questions are discussed with reference to major team interests/themes.	Team research questions are identified.	Themes & research questions not identified.	
Options Trail planning		Outline of what team members learned from the planning process .	Outline of Options Trail planning process provided.	Options Trail planning process not mentioned.	
Options Trail Day		Evidence presented to illustrate Options Trail activities.	Outline of Options Trail activities provided.	Options Trail activities not mentioned.	
Options Trail research questions	The quality and scope of Options Trail evidence is evaluated.	Answers are supported by specific evidence from Options Trail.	1-2 Research questions answered. Link to Options Trail activities not apparent.	Research questions not answered.	
Action Project planning		Major lessons and implications for future event planning are summarised.	Action Project planning process is outlined.	Action Project planning process is not discussed.	
Action Project evaluation		Project and outcomes are discussed using evidence .	Success or failure of Action Project mentioned briefly.	Action Project not evaluated.	
Personal Journeys Person 1	Discussion of key personal learning as a result of team project.	Choice of image is justified. Personal team experience linked to features of image.	Journey described. Links to the image provided are unclear.	Person did not speak about their journey/Did not provide an image.	
Person 2	As above	As above	As above	As above	
Person 3	As above	As above	As above	As above	
Person 4	As above	As above	As above	As above	
Challenges		Challenges discussed & strategies/solutions evaluated.	Major team challenges mentioned briefly.	Team challenges not discussed.	
Greatest Achievements & Individual brilliance		Achievements/examples of individual brilliance mentioned and justified .	Achievements mentioned. No justification given.	Achievements & examples of individual brilliance not discussed.	
Structure & Organisation	Team operated confidently and professionally without the need to be prompted. All members had meaningful input.	Presentation clearly structured, but team didn't operate cohesively. Some team members didn't know the structure/ appeared to be under instruction.	Confusion regarding structure & organisation of presentation. Speakers appeared unsure of content.	Clearly no rehearsal. Group very disorganised.	
Use of visual & other multimedia	Multimedia complemented/enhanced the speakers' messages and helped structure the presentation.	Multimedia were used which supported parts of the presentation. Issues include: some sections unsupported/too much text/...	Multimedia used, but did not effectively support the presentation. Distracting, confusing or irrelevant.	No use of visual media.	
Public speaking Person 1	Speaker easily understood. Appropriate tone, projection, position on stage, stature, eye-contact, pace reflected confidence and a willingness to be seen by the audience.	Presentation was clear. Improvements required in: tone/projection/stature/eye-contact/pace	Speaker difficult to understand due to tone, projection, stature, eye-contact, pace.	Person did not speak/were impossible to understand.	
Person 2	As above	As above	As above	As above	
Person 3	As above	As above	As above	As above	
Person 4	As above	As above	As above	As above	

Overall comments: